

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/580,336	TAKAHASHI ET AL.	
	Examiner Vickey Nerangis	Art Unit 1762	
All Participants:		Status of Application: <u>Amendment filed after final rejection</u>	
(1) <u>Vickey Nerangis</u> .		(3) _____.	
(2) <u>Martin Weeks</u> .		(4) _____.	
Date of Interview: <u>18 March 2011</u>		Time: <u>10:00</u>	
Type of Interview: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)			
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, provide a brief description: .			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A			
Claims discussed: <u>33, 34, 37, 38</u>			
Prior art documents discussed: N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>Applicant's representative, Mr. Weeks, authorized an examiner's amendment that cancels claims 33, 34, 37, and 38</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			

/Vickey Nerangis/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1762

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)